	Case 2:22-cv-00529-DAD-JDP Docume	ent 12 Filed 06/16/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	LINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		N 0.00 00500 DAD IDD (DG)
11	ALESSANDRA JULIETTA ROVITO,	No. 2:22-cv-00529-DAD-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
14	MATHER DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL	THIS ACTION
15	CENTER,	(Doc. No. 11)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff Alessandra Julietta Rovito is proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil action, which	
19	defendant removed to this federal court on March 22, 2022. (Doc. No. 1.) This matter was	
20	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule	
21	302.	
22	On March 15, 2023, the court granted defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint,	
23	with leave to amend, due to plaintiff's failure to allege that this court has subject matter	
24	jurisdiction. (Doc. No. 10.) Having found that "an amended complaint could conceivably correct	
25	the shortcomings," (Doc. No. 9 at 3), the court granted plaintiff leave to file a first amended	
26	complaint within thirty (30) days from the date of that order. (Doc. No. 10 at 2.) Plaintiff was	
27	warned, however, that "her failure to file a first amended complaint within the time provided will	
28		
		1

1 result in the dismissal of this action." (Id.) That deadline has now passed, and plaintiff has not 2 filed an amended complaint or otherwise communicated with the court. 3 Consequently, on April 24, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and 4 recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed due to a lack of subject matter 5 jurisdiction. (Doc. No. 11.) Those findings and recommendations were served on the parties and 6 contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after 7 service. (Id. at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, 8 and the time in which to do so has now passed. 9 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 10 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 11 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 12 Accordingly: 13 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 24, 2023 (Doc. No. 11) are 14 adopted in full; 15 2. This action is dismissed; and 16 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 Dated: **June 15, 2023** 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Case 2:22-cv-00529-DAD-JDP Document 12 Filed 06/16/23 Page 2 of 2

27

28